

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

An Office Action dated June 7, 2007 has been received. The Office Action states that the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1A and the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1B represent patentably distinct species and requires restriction between these patentably distinct species. Claims 48 and 51 have been amended. Claim 48 has been amended to include subject matter from claim 50 and claim 50 has been cancelled. Claim 51 has been amended so that it now depends from claim 48 rather than from cancelled claim 50. These amendments were not made to overcome any prior art. Claims 1-49 and 51-85 remain pending in the application. Of the pending claims, claims 5, 8-9, 16, 27, 36-38, 45, 53, 56-57, 59 and 61 are withdrawn from prosecution.

Applicant elects, without traverse, to prosecute claims that correspond to the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1B. Of the claims remaining in prosecution that were not previously withdrawn due to the first restriction requirement, Applicant believes that claims 1-4, 6, 7, 10-15, 17-16, 28-35, 39-44, 46-49, 51, 52, 54, 55, 58, and 62-85 read on the embodiment illustrated in Figure 1B which is elected for prosecution.

If the Examiner would find it helpful to discuss this issue by telephone, the undersigned attorney of record invites the Examiner to contact the attorney of record.

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLINGSWORTH & FUNK, LLC  
8009 34<sup>th</sup> Avenue South, Suite 125  
Minneapolis, MN 55425  
952.854.2700

By:   
Clara Davis  
Reg. No. 50,495